1	CLEMENT SETH ROBERTS (SBN 209203)				
2	croberts@orrick.com BAS DE BLANK (SBN 191487)				
3	basdeblank@orrick.com ALYSSA CARIDIS (SBN 260103)				
4	acaridis@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP				
5	The Orrick Building 405 Howard Street				
_	San Francisco, CA 94105-2669				
6	Telephone: +1 415 773 5700 Facsimile: +1 415 773 5759				
7	SEAN M. SULLIVAN (pro hac vice)				
8	sullivan@ls3ip.com MICHAEL P. BOYEA (pro hac vice)				
9	boyea@ls3ip.com COLE B. RICHTER (pro hac vice)				
10	richter@ls3ip.com				
11	LEE SULLIVAN SHEA & SMITH LLP 656 W Randolph St., Floor 5W				
12	Chicago, IL 60661 Telephone: +1 312 754 0002				
13	Facsimile: +1 312 754 0003				
14	Attorneys for Sonos, Inc.				
15	LIMITED STATES DISTRICT COLIDT				
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
17	SAN FRANCI	SCO DIVISION			
18	SONOS, INC.,	Case No. 3:20-cv-06754-WHA Related to Case No. 3:21-cv-07559-WHA			
19	Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant,	DECLARATION OF COLE B.			
20	v.	RICHTER IN SUPPORT OF			
21	GOOGLE LLC,	GOOGLE'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER			
22	Defendant and Counter-Claimant.	ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE SEALED (DKT. 608)			
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

I, Cole B. Richter, declare as follows and would so testify under oath if called upon to do so:

- 1. I am an attorney with the law firm of Lee Sullivan Shea & Smith LLP, counsel of record to Sonos, Inc. ("Sonos") in the above-captioned matter. I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of Illinois. I have been admitted *pro hac vice* in this matter. I make this declaration based on my personal knowledge, unless otherwise noted. If called, I can and will testify competently to the matters set forth herein.
- 2. I make this declaration in support of Google's Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should be Sealed filed on April 26, 2023 (Dkt. 608) ("Administrative Motion to Consider"), in connection with Google's Motion *in Limine* No. 2 to Exclude Portions of the Expert Report and Certain Testimony of Mr. James Malackowski Regarding Damages ("Google's Motion") and Sonos's Opposition to Google's Motion ("Sonos's Opposition").

3. Sonos seeks an order sealing the materials as listed below:

Document	Portions Google Sought to Be Filed Under Seal	Portions Sonos Seeks to Be Filed Under Seal	Designating Party
Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Lindsay Cooper in Support of Google's Motion	Entire Document	None.	Sonos
Exhibit 2 to the Declaration of Lindsay Cooper in Support of Google's Motion	Entire Document	 Portions highlighted in blue Text at lines 14-18 on p. 258 (p. 3 of PDF). 	Sonos
Exhibit 3 to the Declaration of Lindsay Cooper in Support of Google's Motion	Entire Document	 Portions highlighted in blue Text at lines 20-24 on p. 156 (p. 8 of PDF); Text at lines 3-6 on p. 157 (p. 9 of PDF). 	Sonos

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

Document	Portions Google Sought to Be Filed Under Seal	Filed Under Seal	Designating Party
Exhibit A to the Declaration of Joseph Kolker in Support of Sonos's Opposition to Google's Motion	Portions outlined in blue boxes	Portions outlined in blue boxes (see Dkt. 608-5) Text in last paragraph of p. 94 (p. 19 of PDF); Text in first paragraph of p. 95 (p. 20 of PDF); Text in last paragraph of p. 96 (p. 21 of PDF); Text in last paragraph of p. 97 (p. 22 of PDF); Image on p. 98 (p. 23 of PDF); Text in last paragraph of p. 103 (p. 28 of PDF).	Sonos

Doutions Comes Cooks to D

4. I understand that the Ninth Circuit has recognized two different standards that may apply to a request to seal a document, the "compelling reasons" standard and the "good cause" standard. *Blessing v. Plex Sys., Inc.*, No. 21-CV-05951-PJH, 2021 WL 6064006, at *12 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 22, 2021) (citing *Ctr. For Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC*, 809 F.3d 1092, 1096-97 (9th Cir. 2016)). The compelling reasons standard applies to any sealing request made in connection with a motion that is "more than tangentially related to the merits of a case." *Id.* Accordingly, I understand courts in this district apply a "compelling reasons" standard to a sealing request made in connection with a motion for summary judgment. *See, e.g., Snapkeys, Ltd. v. Google LLC*, No. 19-CV-02658-LHK, 2021 WL 1951250, at *2 (N.D. Cal. May 14, 2021).

5. I further understand that confidential technical information about product features, architecture, and development satisfies the "compelling reason" standard. *See Delphix Corp. v. Actifio, Inc.*, No. 13-cv-04613-BLF, 2014 WL 4145520, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 20, 2014) (finding compelling reasons to seal where court filings contained "highly sensitive information regarding [an entity's confidential] product architecture and development"); *Guzik Tech. Enters., Inc. v. W. Digital Corp.*, No. 5:11-CV-03786-PSG, 2013 WL 6199629, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 27, 2013)

1	(sealing exhibit containing "significant references to and discussion regarding the technical		
2	features" of a litigant's products). Under this "compelling reasons" standard, the Court should		
3	order the above-listed documents sealed.		
4	6. The portions identified in the table above for Exhibit A to the Kolker Declaration and		
5	Exhibits 2 and 3 to the Cooper Declaration, reference and contain Sonos's confidential business		
6	information and trade secrets, including the details of Sonos's confidential licenses and license		
7	offers with third parties, including Google. Sonos's licensing practices, history, and previous		
8	license agreements are all confidential information that Sonos does not share publicly. Thus,		
9	public disclosure of such information may lead to competitive harm as Sonos's competitors could		
10	use these details to gain a competitive advantage in the marketplace. A less restrictive alternative		
11	than sealing said documents would not be sufficient because the information sought to be sealed		
12	is Sonos's confidential business information and trade secrets and Google contends that this		
13	information is necessary to Google's Motion. See Declaration of Jocelyn Ma in support of		
14	Google's administrative motion to seal (Dkt. 609-1) ¶¶ 3-6.		
15	7. Sonos's request is narrowly tailored to protect its confidential information.		
16	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my		
17	knowledge. Executed this 3rd day of May, 2023 in San Francisco, California.		
18			
19	/s/ Cole B. Richter COLE B. RICHTER		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	Drot, or Digutten to Coogle's Appen Morroy to		
	DECL. OF RICHTER ISO GOOGLE'S ADMIN. MOTION TO		